(3)

(FLOAT)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1349 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Narhari Lal Surja, son of Loknath Surja, aged about 35 years, Occupation- Labour, resident of Village-Kotdwari Vikas Khand, Saraipali, District-Mahasamund,(C.G.)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through, Secretary, Panchayat, D.K.S.Building, Mantralay, Raipur, District-Raipur,(C.G.)

: 2. Collector, Mahasamund, District-Mahasamund,(C.G.)

: 3. Sub Divisional Officer,(Revenue) Sarapali, District Mahasamund,(C.G.)

: 4. Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Kotdwari, Block-Saraipali, District-Mahasamund,(C.G.)

: 5. Lalit Kumar Kar, son of Bahadur Kar, resident of Village-Nawapara, Block-Saraipali, District-Mahasamund,(C.G.)

: 6. Secretary, Grampanchayat, Kotdwari. Vikas Khand - Saraipali, Distt - Mahasamund

P.R. No. 1191/03
Presented by Shri Aman Keshrwani
dated 23.09.03

शपथ आयुक्त
एस.के. शुक्ला
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
नहसील कोर्ट

CERTIFIED COPY.
Advocate
BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA:

XI-HC-22

(32)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 1349/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... / ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	29/4/2003 Shri Awadh Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner. State by Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, Panel Lawyer. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondents for the very purpose to give benefit to respondent No. 5 not made any appointment on the post of Panchayat Karmi, though the post is still vacant. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will serve if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this order to the competent authority within fifteen days from today and on such representation being filed the competent authority shall decide the same by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law within two months from the date of production of representation. If any adverse order is passed and the petitioner thereby feels aggrieved, he may file the petition afresh challenging the said order. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. and I.A., if any, stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

vatti/- c/c 2/5

[पीछे देखिये